Historical Background and Legal Implications of the Term “illegal”

The i-word is not neutral. It is racially charged and has been promoted by restrictionist advocacy organizations like Numbers USA and the Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR), founded by eugenicist John Tanton. Frank Luntz, a Republican Party strategist, recommended operatives promote use of the term “illegal immigrants” in a 2005 memo, explaining that it would encourage an understanding of immigrants as criminals and create politically useful division among voters. With clear direction to use “illegal immigrant,” the shorthand slur has become just as common among media pundits and political campaigns.

While the i-word was originally used and championed by restrictionists, it’s also now used by Republicans and Democrats alike, as well as by some advocates of comprehensive immigration reform.

Pollsters like Stan Greenberg, Celinda Lake and Guy Molyneaux, engaged by liberal advocacy groups, have recommended that Democrats also adopt tougher language on immigration in order to engage more voters on the topic of immigration reform.

Political consultant Drew Westen has also recommended that Democrats use the i-word to be more effective. Whatever political strategists on either side of the immigration debate believe, it is not the role of journalists to embrace their
talking points. The term remains inaccurate, politically loaded and dehumanizing to the people it describes.

**The i-word is legally inaccurate.**

“Illegal alien” and “illegal immigrant” are incoherent terms from the standpoint of immigration law.

Immigration judges and ICE attorneys don't use the terms because they are meaningless in the context of immigration proceedings. The Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA), the highest administrative body for interpreting and applying immigration laws, does not use them either.

**Use of the i-word denies due process.**

- Ethical journalism includes respect for due process. It’s accepted practice to use the words “accused,” “purported,” or “alleged” before a case is resolved legally.

In covering immigration we respect due process and a person’s constitutional rights. The San Antonio Express News and the Miami Herald have cited this fact as one reason they don’t use the i-word.
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